

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,149	10/30/2003	Evan A. Gordon	2628	2861
A. Burgess Lowe 101 East Maple Street North Canton, OH 44720 REDDING, ART UNIT				
				PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	100		
		10/698,149	GORDON ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David A. Redding	1744			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess		
WHIC - Exte · after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
′=	•	action is non-final.				
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-22</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with from consideration.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 1-4,7 and 8 is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 9-14</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	·			,		
·	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 October 2003</u> is/are:		to by the Evaminer			
בשוניסו	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·		1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	, ,				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Sta	ige		
* 0	application from the International Bureau					
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	· d.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/30/03.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: a cleaning machine having front and rear nozzles which are opened and closed based on movement of the cleaner (clms. 1-13); a cleaning machine having front and rear liquid distribution nozzles (clms. 14-22). The species are independent or distinct because of the patentably distinct structure and corresponding function.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Schenk on 1/3/07 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of claims 1-14.

Art Unit: 1744

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 15-22 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 5,347,678 (Williams et al.).

The patent discloses a base assembly (10), a liquid distribution system (shown in figure 20, a suction nozzle assembly having a front nozzle (26), a rear nozzle (22), a suction source (col. 4, lines 42-46), a valve assembly (28) which is associated with the respective front and rear nozzle outlets. The valve assembly opens the year nozzle when the cleaning unit is moved forward and selectively opens the forward nozzle when the cleaning unit is moved rearwardly (col. 3, lines 3-31; claim 21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 1744

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 5,347,678 (Williams et al.) in view of USP 6,560,817 ('817).

The '817 patent discloses a floor cleaning machine which includes a front cleaning solution nozzle (140) and a rearward clean water nozzle (128).

Art Unit: 1744

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art provide the liquid distribution system of the '817 patent in the Williams et al. cleaning machine for the disclosed advantage of using clean water to remove any of the cleaning solution left by the vacuum system.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5,6,9-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Redding whose telephone number is 571-272-1276. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 6:00 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran-Piazza can be reached on 571-272-1224. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/698,149

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David A Redding Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

DAR